

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Research Update:

# Finland's Municipal Guarantee Board 'AA+/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Carina Johansson, Stockholm (46) 8-440-5918; carina.johansson@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact:

Carl Nyreerod, Stockholm (46) 8-440-5919; carl.nyreerod@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

## Research Update:

# Finland's Municipal Guarantee Board 'AA+/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

## Overview

- Municipal Guarantee Board (MGB) is one of the pillars of Finland's municipal funding system through Municipality Finance PLC, and its creditworthiness is underpinned by that of Finland's robust local government sector.
- We are affirming our 'AA+/A-1+' ratings on MGB.
- The stable outlook partly reflects that on the sovereign. If we lowered our ratings on Finland, we would lower our ratings on MGB. However, if we raised our ratings on Finland, a possible upgrade of MGB would hinge on our view of MGB's and Municipality Finance's joint creditworthiness.

## Rating Action

On Dec. 21, 2017, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+/A-1+' long- and short-term issuer credit ratings on Finland-based Municipal Guarantee Board (MGB). The outlook is stable.

## Rationale

The ratings reflect the joint support of the local government sector in Finland (AA+/Stable/A-1+), which strongly backs the guarantees that MGB extends on Municipality Finance's senior debt funding. This is done via a joint and pro rata guarantee from MGB's member municipalities, which represent all of mainland Finland's population (that is, excluding the autonomous Åland Islands). Consequently, as one of the main pillars of the municipal funding system, MGB is closely linked to the local government sector, in our view. We therefore cap our ratings on MGB at the level of the sovereign rating, in line with our approach for individual local and regional governments (LRGs). To analyze MGB, we use "Principles Of Credit Ratings," published Feb. 16, 2011, on RatingsDirect, under which we assess the strength of the joint guarantee structure and the stand-alone credit profile of Municipality Finance PLC, to which MGB exclusively extends guarantees.

The ratings on MGB are underpinned by our view of the robust creditworthiness of the Finnish local government sector, thanks to strong systemic support features and the institutionalized and unlimited ability of municipalities to increase local income tax rates. We also consider MGB's special public-policy role and status--as described in specific legislation--within the funding system for Finnish local governments, as well as its proactive approach to risk management. In addition, the ratings reflect MGB's focus on providing guarantees solely for the financial obligations of Municipality Finance, which under the current set-up is the primary credit institution for Finnish local governments and the central government's subsidized housing sector. Importantly, we believe it is highly unlikely that MGB will be called upon to honor its guarantees of Municipality Finance because Municipality Finance has very solid financial standing and very low risk in its

operations. These strengths are somewhat mitigated by some uncertainty about the timeliness of the pro rata guarantee from MGB's member municipalities. In addition, we note MGB's thin capitalization and financial resources compared with the amount of guarantees outstanding.

MGB's role and scope of activities are regulated by specific legislation, the MGB Act, which defines the agency's special public-policy role and sole mission of ensuring the viability of a joint funding system for Finnish local governments. Essentially, this means MGB provides guarantees solely for Municipality Finance's financial obligations, and we are not aware of any concrete plans to extend the scope of MGB's remit. If Municipality Finance were to default on its financial obligations, MGB could, without a court order, turn to its members on a pro rata basis to obtain funds, which it would receive through the guarantee mechanism for repaying investors.

We note that, unlike a joint and several guarantee commitment, there could be a time lag in determining and acquiring the required pro rata amount from each member local government if the guarantee were to be triggered. Although it has yet to be tested, the process could take up to one month, in our view. Even so, if the guarantee were called, we anticipate that MGB would remain proactive and secure timely payment through the flexibilities that exist within the MGB Act. In addition, MGB has its own liquidity in the form of securities and liquid funds, which totaled €18.3 million in June 2017, together with a committed credit line of €150 million. These liquidity sources serve as a first line of defense, allowing for the injection of capital into Municipality Finance in the unlikely event that it faces financial difficulty. We expect that MGB will review its liquidity position at certain intervals to keep pace with its expanding guarantee commitments to Municipality Finance and its assessment of risk related to Municipality Finance's derivatives portfolio. As a second line of defense, MGB may be able to obtain additional funds from its members if its own liquidity sources are insufficient.

As of June 30, 2017, MGB's outstanding guarantees totalled €28.7 billion, compared with its current maximum guarantee limit of €45.1 billion. Although the volume of outstanding guarantees appears very high relative to MGB's capital base and liquidity facilities, we believe that ultimately MGB's ability to fulfill its guarantee commitment to Municipality Finance depends on the creditworthiness of Finland's local government sector. Furthermore, we believe the likelihood of the guarantee ever being called is very low, given Municipality Finance's very strong asset quality, capitalization, and liquidity, as well as its low-risk lending and prudent asset-liability management.

We view MGB as having a proactive approach to risk management. Since 2010, MGB has performed quantitative analyses of the risk held in Municipality Finance's swap portfolio. This has created differing target levels for MGB's equity fund, depending on the stresses applied in the scenario analyses, and MGB is gradually increasing its equity fund in response to the conclusions of its risk analyses. In addition, we think MGB and Municipality Finance continue to proactively manage regulatory risk.

We note the ongoing discussions about reorganization of Finland's public sector by establishing county councils whose main responsibility will be health care. If this reform were to be implemented, MGB would not, without an amendment to the MGB Act, automatically be in scope of extending guarantees for the benefit of loans to entities belonging to this new tier of government. We will monitor these developments and assess possible implications for MGB.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook on MGB partly reflects that on the sovereign. If we were to lower our ratings on Finland, we would also lower our ratings on MGB. However, if we were to raise our ratings on Finland, a possible upgrade of MGB would hinge on our view of MGB's and Municipality Finance's joint creditworthiness.

Our ratings on MGB continue to reflect our two-year expectation that the structure of Finland's joint municipal funding system will remain in its current form, and that Municipality Finance will remain the sole recipient of MGB's guarantees. In addition, we anticipate that the creditworthiness of the Finnish municipal sector, even after the introduction of a possible reform of the LRG structure, will remain stable. We expect the current legislative structure--including the MGB Act and MGB's status as a special public-policy agency--will remain broadly unchanged.

Independently of any rating action on the sovereign, we could lower the ratings on MGB if its legal status or institutional set-up were to change or if Municipality Finance's credit quality were to deteriorate significantly. Similarly, the ratings could come under pressure if other credit institutions were allowed to benefit from MGB's guarantees. We could also downgrade MGB if we thought the credit quality of the Finnish local government sector was declining.

## **Related Criteria And Research**

### **Related Criteria**

- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings - April 07, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology And Assumptions For Rating Jointly Supported Financial Obligations - May 23, 2016
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions - March 25, 2015
- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology: Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments Higher Than The Sovereign - December 15, 2014
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions - November 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings - February 16, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks - September 14, 2009

### **Related Research**

- Research Update: Finland Ratings Affirmed At 'AA+/A-1+'; Outlook Stable - September 15, 2017

## Ratings List

	Rating	
	To	From
Municipal Guarantee Board		
Issuer Credit Rating		
Foreign and Local Currency	AA+/Stable/A-1+	AA+/Stable/A-1+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

**Additional Contact:**

International Public Finance Ratings Europe; [PublicFinanceEurope@spglobal.com](mailto:PublicFinanceEurope@spglobal.com)

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.